UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

COPY MAILED
DEC 2 0 2006
OFFICE OF PETITIONS

JOHN H. SHADDUCK
1490 VISTAZO WEST
TIBURON, CA 94920

In re Application of :
John H. Shadduck :
Application No. 10/681,625 : ON PETITION
Filed: October 7, 2003 :
Attorney Docket No. S-TT-00100 :

This is a decision on the petition, filed June 29, 2006, to revive the above-identified application under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the non-final Office action mailed November 10, 2005, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of one (1) month or thirty (30) days (whichever is later). No extension of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) was obtained. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on June 14, 2006.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the response in the form of an election of the invention to be examined; (2) the petition fee of $750; and (3) an adequate statement of unintentional delay[1].

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204. All other inquiries regarding this application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being referred to Technology Center AU 3739 for consideration of the response filed June 29, 2006.

Sherry D. Brinkley
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

[1] 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) requires a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. Although the statement contained in the petition varies from the language required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3), the statement will be construed as the statement required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3). Petitioner must notify the Office if this is **not** a correct interpretation of the statement contained in the instant petition.